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## ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DPOC4</td>
<td>Drainage Pump Outlet Channel #4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY</td>
<td>fiscal year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heritage</td>
<td>Yuma Crossing National Heritage Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCR MSCP</td>
<td>Lower Colorado River Multi-Species Conservation Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lidar</td>
<td>light detection and ranging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quechan Tribe</td>
<td>Quechan Tribe of the Fort Yuma Indian Reservation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reclamation</td>
<td>Bureau of Reclamation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YEW</td>
<td>Yuma East Wetlands</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Symbols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>percent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1.0 INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this annual report is to summarize all activities that have occurred at Yuma East Wetlands (YEW) from October 1, 2016 through September 30, 2017, which is Federal fiscal year (FY) 2017. Water usage is presented for the calendar year, January 1 through December 31, 2017, consistent with the Colorado River Accounting and Water Use Report: Arizona, California, and Nevada, Calendar Year 2017 (Bureau of Reclamation [Reclamation] 2018).

1.1 Background

In 2000, the city of Yuma, and the Quechan Tribe of the Fort Yuma Indian Reservation (Quechan Tribe) collaborated to analyze the potential of restoring YEW, which was a historic wetland in the Yuma community. During project planning, the site contained vast amounts non-native plant species, makeshift camps, and illegal dumping. Between 2001 and 2013, non-native vegetation was removed, and a mosaic of marsh, Fremont cottonwood-Goodding’s willow (*Populus fremontii*- *Salix gooddingii*) (hereafter cottonwood-willow) and honey mesquite (*Prosopis glandulosa*) was established.

The Yuma Crossing National Heritage Area (Heritage) manages the day-to-day operation of YEW. In 2013, the Lower Colorado River Multi-Species Conservation Program (LCR MSCP) entered into partnership with the Quechan Tribe, city of Yuma, Arizona Game and Fish Commission, and Heritage to support the long-term management of the conservation area. The LCR MSCP contributes toward maintaining existing habitat and adaptive management actions that will benefit species covered under the program. The Colorado River divides the project from east to west. North of the Colorado River is known as North Channel, and south of the river is known as South Channel.

2.0 CONSERVATION AREA INFORMATION

2.1 Purpose

The LCR MSCP’s purpose of the development of YEW was to convert 380 acres of undeveloped land, primarily saltcedar (*Tamarix* spp.) and phragmites, to a mosaic of native riparian and marsh habitats that will be managed for southwestern willow flycatchers (*Empidonax traillii extimus*), yellow-billed cuckoos (*Coccyzus americanus occidentalis*), and other terrestrial wildlife species covered by the LCR MSCP. Marsh land cover types created will be managed for California black rails (*Laterallus jamaicensis coturniculus*), western least bitterns...
(Ixivorychus exilis hesperis), and Yuma clapper rails (Rallus longirostris yumanensis [also known as Yuma Ridgway’s rail = R. obsoletus yumanensis]). Riparian areas with grassy understory would be managed for Yuma hispid cotton rats (Sigmodon hispidus eremicus).

2.2 Location

YEW is located Reach 6, in Yuma County, Arizona, between River Miles 31 and 32 (figure 1).

2.3 Landownership

YEW is owned by the Quechan Tribe, city of Yuma, and the Arizona Game and Fish Commission. Figures 2 depicts the approximate landownership boundaries for YEW.

2.4 Water

YEW receives water from two water entitlements. The city of Yuma will be charged for the diversions and uses on YEW lands administered or owned by the city. The Arizona entitlement of the Quechan Tribe will be charged for consumptive use of water on lands administered or owned by the Quechan Tribe.

2.5 Agreements

A Land Use Agreement was signed and executed in 2013 between the Quechan Tribe, Arizona Game and Fish Commission, city of Yuma, the Heritage, and Reclamation, to secure land and water for YEW for the remainder of the 50-year LCR MSCP. The agreement outlines the rights and responsibilities of each partner in the project’s development and maintenance. Reclamation will provide 70% of the funds required to manage and maintain YEW, and the Heritage, city of Yuma, and the Quechan Tribe will provide the remaining 30% through cost-shared funding and in-kind maintenance services for YEW. An FY18 Yuma East Wetlands Annual Management Plan was developed and approved by all stakeholders.
Figure 1.—LCR MSCP planning area with YEW (inset).
2.6 Public Use

Public use of YEW is regulated and determined by YEW stakeholders. Public use is limited to passive recreation activities such as hiking on the conservation area and park trails, swimming in the Colorado River, fishing, and boating.

2.7 Law Enforcement

Law enforcement activities at YEW are performed by the City of Yuma Police Department, Yuma County Sheriff’s Office, Quechan Tribal Police, Quechan Tribal Game Warden, Bureau of Land Management law enforcement rangers, and the Arizona Game and Fish Department.

2.8 Wildfire Management

Federal, State, and local fire agencies, either by existing management agreements or mutual aid agreements, provide wildland fire suppression, incident dispatch, fire investigation, fuels reduction, and potential fire restrictions. The full range of
suppression strategies are available to managers provided that selected options do not compromise firefighter or public safety, are cost effective, consider the benefits of suppression and the values to be protected, and are consistent with resource objectives (LCR MSCP 2010).

3.0 HABITAT DEVELOPMENT AND MANAGEMENT

A mosaic of marsh, cottonwood-willow, and honey mesquite land cover types was created at YEW from 2001 to 2014; it is now being managed for LCR MSCP covered species (figure 3).

3.1 Planting

No planting activities occurred at YEW in 2017.

3.2 Irrigation

Site irrigation was performed in accordance with the work identified in the FY17 Yuma East Wetlands Annual Management Plan. A variety of tools to irrigate the marsh and riparian areas are found onsite and include diesel-driven flood irrigation pumps, backflows from a nearby treatment facility, and discharges from groundwater dewatering wells. Diversions in 2017 were 372 acre-feet for the city of Yuma and 1,249 acre-feet for the Quechan Tribe, for a total diversion of 1,621 acre-feet to YEW. The following briefly describes each of the currently available irrigation methods.

3.2.1 Flood Irrigation Pumps and Canals

Two diesel-driven irrigation pumps service YEW’s two largest riparian areas, Zones I and J. From these pumps, Colorado River water is diverted into concrete-lined canals and delivered to the zones.

The North Channel Pump failed and has been replaced or rebuilt on a number of occasions. A portable cristafulli pump, rated at 10 cubic feet per second, was loaned for temporary use at the site during the FY17 irrigation season to assess its function in this location. This pump did not fail and better met the needs of the site. A permanent replacement for the temporary pump is anticipated in FY18.
Figure 3.—YEW managed acreage through FY17.
3.2.2 City of Yuma Decant Lines
There are four decant outlet lines that have been installed within the South Channel, which discharge approximately 1 acre-foot per day of backflow water from the City of Yuma Water Treatment Plant. The decant line delivers water to portions of Zones A, B, E, and H. These flows assist in maintaining the water surface elevation of the South Channel marsh.

3.2.3 Drainage Pump Outlet Channel #4
Drainage Pump Outlet Channel #4 (DPOC4) pumps groundwater from the Yuma Valley to support agricultural production and to meet International Treaty requirements for salinity levels of the Colorado River. DPOC4 output varies considerably depending on groundwater conditions and Reclamation operations. When operating, DPOC4 production discharges into the 2E drain, which terminates into Zone E via a lined canal.

Water flowing through DPOC4 may pass through the site but must route back to the Colorado River. Outflows from DPOC4 may not be stored within the marsh or used to change the marsh surface water elevation. DPOC4 is operated solely to meet treaty and agricultural requirements; its operation cannot be depended upon, requested, or modified to meet site requirements.

3.2.4 Quechan Tribe Dewatering Wells
Two dewatering wells located on Quechan lands north of YEW discharge flows into the marsh area located in the North Channel. These flows are used as the primary water resource for Zone K. Operation of the wells is at the discretion of the Quechan Tribe.

3.3 Site Management
Site management activities implemented in each fiscal year are detailed in the Yuma East Wetlands Annual Management Plan, which is developed and concurred to by all partners prior to obligation of LCR MSCP funding. Annual operation and maintenance activities included flood irrigation of Zones I and J, pump maintenance and repair, minor repair of infrastructure, removal of invasive and non-native plant species, and general site maintenance such as road grading.

Additional management activities consisted of administration of the Federal Assistance Agreement, developing the FY18 Yuma East Wetlands Annual Management Plan, implementing the LCR MSCP vegetation and wildlife monitoring protocols for the habitat, coordinating water accounting data submitted to Reclamation, and coordination meetings with stakeholders.
4.0 MONITORING

4.1 Avian Monitoring

Avian monitoring in FY17 included surveys for southwestern willow flycatchers, yellow-billed cuckoos, riparian breeding birds, and marsh birds.

4.1.1 Southwestern Willow Flycatcher Surveys

Surveys to detect the presence of southwestern willow flycatchers were conducted five times during FY17 in cottonwood-willow habitat. No breeding or resident southwestern willow flycatchers were detected. Migrant willow flycatchers (*Empidonax trailli*) were detected in May and early June, but no birds demonstrated territorial behavior. The site was not considered to be occupied by southwestern willow flycatchers. Most birds detected after June 24 or individuals detected repeatedly before June 24 are considered to be southwestern willow flycatchers. Birds detected before June 24 and those detected only once after June 24 are considered migrant willow flycatchers (McLeod et. al. 2018).

4.1.2 Yellow-billed Cuckoo Surveys

Four surveys for yellow-billed cuckoos were conducted within the riparian portion of YEW. Four cuckoos were detected during the first survey period (June 15–30). Two surveys were conducted during the second survey period (approximately July 1–31), and two cuckoos were detected. There were no cuckoos detected during the third survey period (August 1–15).

Breeding was not confirmed at YEW in FY17. Due to the behavior of this species, detections alone do not indicate the number of cuckoos present, nor do detections confirm breeding. The number, timing, and location of detections, along with behaviors observed, may be used to estimate abundance, distribution, and/or breeding status. The possible, probable, and confirmed counts were used to estimate the number of breeding territories and not the number of breeding pairs. Territory estimates represent two adults associated with a single nest. There was one possible territory and one probable territory at YEW in FY17 (Parametrix, Inc., and Southern Sierra Research Station 2018).

4.1.3 Marsh Bird Surveys

Presence surveys for California black rails, western least bitterns, Virginia rails (*Rallus limicola*), and Yuma clapper rails were conducted in marsh habitat at YEW in three survey sessions during March, April, and May. Two LCR MSCP covered marsh bird species were detected: western least bitterns and Yuma
clapper rails. Two Yuma clapper rails were detected on March 21 and five were detected on April 21. Two western least bitterns and three Yuma clapper rails were detected on May 26 (Ronning and Kahl, Jr. 2017).

4.1.4 General Avian Surveys

Bird surveys were conducted to detect breeding LCR MSCP riparian bird species and other territorial riparian bird species. Surveys were conducted within areas of the cottonwood-willow and honey mesquite land cover types that were of adequate growth to support breeding birds. General bird surveys resulted in the detection of 16 species (109.25 territories) of birds breeding within the surveyed plots. Arizona Bell’s vireos (*Vireo bellii arizonae*) and Gila woodpeckers (*Melanerpes uropygialis*) were confirmed breeding (SWCA Environmental Consultants 2018).

Table 1 shows the number of breeding territories of LCR MSCP covered species at YEW in FY17 (SWCA Environmental Consultants 2018).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LCR MSCP covered species</th>
<th>Number of confirmed breeding pairs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arizona Bell’s vireo</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gila woodpecker</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Number of breeding territories refers to the number of territories that are within the sampled area for pairs that were confirmed breeding. Partial territories are possible, as the amount of each territory within the sampled area was estimated to 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, or 1.0.

4.2 Small Mammal Monitoring

4.2.1 Bat Monitoring

Acoustic survey methods were used to monitor bats in order to document the presence of species using YEW.

4.2.1.1 Acoustic Surveys

One long-term monitoring station was operated in the eastern side of section I during June, July, and August 2017. Three LCR MSCP covered species were detected: western red bats (*Lasiurus blossevillii*), western yellow bats
(Lasiurus xanthinus), and California leaf-nosed bats (Macrotus californicus). Table 2 summarizes the number of nights the four LCR MSCP species were detected in FY17 (Mixan and Diamond, in press).

Table 2.—LCR MSCP bat detections by month at YEW, FY17

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Number of nights recorded</th>
<th>Western red bat</th>
<th>Western yellow bat</th>
<th>California leaf-nosed bat</th>
<th>Pale Townsend’s big-eared bat¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Genetic analyses on the pale Townsend’s big-eared bat indicate that the lower Colorado River is likely in the range of the Pacific Townsend’s big-eared bat (Corynorhinus townsendii townsendii) rather than the pale Townsend’s big-eared bat (Piaggio and Perkins 2005). The bats recorded along the lower Colorado River will be referred to as pale Townsend’s big-eared bats in this report, as the nomenclature change has not yet been verified by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

4.2.2 Rodent Monitoring

Live trapping was conducted on October 24, 2016, and February 10, 2017, to determine the presence of Yuma hispid cotton rats. In October, 60 traps were set in the North Channel; in February, 40 traps were set in Cell C and 60 traps were set in Cell I. Two Yuma hispid cotton rats were captured in Cell C in February (Hill 2017).

5.0 HABITAT CREATION CONSERVATION MEASURE ACCOMPLISHMENT

5.1 Vegetation Monitoring

Vegetation data were collected in FY17 using light detection and ranging (lidar). Lidar measures the vegetation structure throughout the canopy and provides the ability to identify structural diversity and successional growth stages. Conservation area vegetation will be evaluated on a periodic basis using lidar to ensure the habitat is meeting species’ requirements. A procedure to analyze and provide vegetation structure metrics will be developed, and the results will be presented in future reports.
5.2 Evaluation of Conservation Area Habitat

The Final Habitat Creation Conservation Measure Accomplishment Tracking Process was finalized in October 2011 (LCR MSCP 2011). All areas within YEW were designed to benefit covered species at the landscape level.

To meet species habitat creation requirements, the Habitat Conservation Plan provides goals for habitat creation based on land cover types. These land cover types are described using the Anderson and Ohmart vegetation classification system (Anderson et al. 1976, 1984a and 1984b). A total of 12 species with habitat creation goals have creditable acres at YEW. These species, including their corresponding conservation measure acronyms, are: Yuma clapper rail (CLRA1), Yuma hispid cotton rat (YHCR2), western least bittern (LEBI1), California black rail (BLRA1), yellow-billed cuckoo (YBCU1), elf owl (Micrathene whitneyi) (ELOW1), gilded flicker (Colaptes chrysoides) (GIFL1), Gila woodpecker (GIWO1), vermilion flycatcher (Pyrocephalus rubinus) (VEFL1), Arizona Bell’s vireo (Vireo bellii arizonae) (BEVI1), Sonoran yellow warbler (YWAR1), and summer tanager (Piranga rubra) (SUTA1) (table 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species-specific habitat creation conservation measure</th>
<th>CLRA1</th>
<th>YHCR2</th>
<th>LEBI1</th>
<th>BLRA1</th>
<th>YBCU1</th>
<th>ELOW1</th>
<th>GIFL1</th>
<th>GIWO1</th>
<th>VEFL1</th>
<th>BEVI1</th>
<th>YWAR1</th>
<th>SUTA1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Creditable acres in 2017</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total, including previous years</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.0 Adaptive Management Recommendations

Adaptive management relies on the initial receipt of new information, the analysis of that information, and the incorporation of the new information into the design and/or direction of future project work (LCR MSCP 2007). The Adaptive Management Program’s role is to ensure habitat creation sites are biologically effective and fulfill the conservation measures outlined in the Habitat Conservation Plan for 26 covered species and if they potentially benefit 5 evaluation species. Post-development monitoring and species research results will be used to adaptively manage habitat creation sites after initial
implementation. Once monitoring data are collected over a few years, and then analyzed for YEW, recommendations may be made through the adaptive management process for site improvements in the future.

There are no adaptive management recommendations for YEW at this time.
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